

PHRASEOLOGICAL UNITS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK MEDIA DISCOURSE

Dilafruz Fakhridinovna Isakova
dilyaisakova25@gmail.com

Abstract: The relationship between media language and phraseology has emerged as a key focus within contemporary linguistics, demonstrating how fixed expressions, idioms, and innovative phraseological forms shape public discourse. The present study examines the interaction between media discourse and phraseology with particular attention to English and Uzbek contexts. Drawing on recent research by Al-Subhi (2024), Nuriddinova and Mannonova (2025), and Oripova (2025), the analysis explores how media texts employ and transform phraseological units to achieve stylistic, pragmatic, and persuasive aims. In English-language media, phraseological patterns are instrumental in constructing evaluative stance and engaging readers (Bednarek, 2006; Al-Subhi, 2024). In contrast, Uzbek media exhibits creative adaptations of idiomatic expressions to comment on political and cultural issues (Nuriddinova & Mannonova, 2025; Oripova, 2025). The findings indicate that both linguistic traditions utilize phraseological play and transformation to attract audiences, convey ideological meanings, and express cultural identity. By comparing English and Uzbek media discourses, this study highlights the universal yet culturally specific function of phraseology in mass communication and outlines potential directions for future cross-linguistic research.

Keywords: phraseology, media discourse, English, Uzbek, idioms, stylistics, discourse analysis

Introduction

In contemporary linguistics, the interaction between media discourse and phraseology represents a dynamic area of inquiry that connects language, culture, and communication. The language of the media is not merely a neutral vehicle for information transmission; it is a powerful instrument that shapes public perception, reflects social ideology, and manipulates cultural meanings. Within this communicative environment, phraseology-defined as the use of stable expressions, idioms, and phraseological units-plays a vital role in establishing stylistic distinctiveness and emotional resonance (Sinclair, 1991; Bednarek, 2006). Media texts frequently depend on familiar phrases and idiomatic expressions to capture attention, evoke shared experiences, and persuade readers or viewers. This linguistic phenomenon, long recognized in the English-speaking world, has gained growing

attention in the Uzbek linguistic context, where phraseological creativity reflects the interplay between national identity and global media influences.

The relevance of studying phraseology in media discourse lies in its dual nature: phraseological units serve as both linguistic traditions and dynamic instruments of innovation. Scholars such as Al-Subhi (2024) and Levchenko, Shynkar, and Chuban (2024) have shown that media outlets strategically employ and modify idioms, collocations, and proverbs to produce evaluative, ironic, or persuasive effects. Similarly, research from the Uzbek context by Nuriddinova and Mannonova (2025), Oripova (2025), and Niyazmetova (2025) illustrates how journalists and political commentators creatively transform Uzbek idioms to articulate socio-political critique and cultural commentary. These studies reveal that phraseology in media is not static; rather, it evolves in response to communicative goals, audience expectations, and cultural memory.

This comparative exploration of English and Uzbek media phraseology aims to elucidate how idiomatic language functions within different sociolinguistic and cultural frameworks. The article first reviews key theoretical and empirical contributions from scholars who have examined media and phraseology across languages. It then discusses major themes that emerge from these studies-such as the stylistic, pragmatic, and cultural functions of phraseology-and highlights cross-linguistic similarities and distinctions. By doing so, it seeks to contribute to the broader field of media linguistics and phraseological studies, offering insights into how phraseological creativity reinforces the expressive power of media discourse and reflects evolving linguistic identities in both English and Uzbek media landscapes.

Literature Review

1. Theoretical Background: Phraseology and Media Language

Phraseology, traditionally defined as the study of stable combinations of words-idioms, collocations, and fixed expressions-has become increasingly relevant to discourse and media linguistics (Sinclair, 1991). Sinclair's **idiom principle** established that language users tend to rely on prefabricated chunks rather than isolated lexical items, a concept that profoundly influenced subsequent corpus-based analyses of media texts. Bednarek (2006) extended this framework into the domain of media discourse, illustrating how evaluative meaning and journalistic stance are conveyed through idiomatic and formulaic expressions. In this view, phraseological units serve not merely as decorative linguistic devices but as carriers of ideology, emotion, and cultural symbolism.

The development of **media linguistics** as a discipline further contextualized phraseology as a tool for audience engagement and persuasion. Media texts are designed to be memorable and affective, and idiomatic expressions often perform the dual role of enhancing stylistic vividness and promoting cognitive accessibility (Al-

Subhi, 2024). The link between idiomaticity and media communication thus reflects both linguistic economy and cultural resonance-an intersection explored by researchers across linguistic traditions.

2. English-Language Studies on Media and Phraseology

In English-language media, phraseological analysis has primarily focused on how idioms and collocations construct evaluation, stance, and identity. Bednarek (2006) analyzed a corpus of newspaper articles and demonstrated that idiomatic expressions such as **a double-edged sword** or **walk a fine line** encode the journalist's evaluative position while maintaining the impression of objectivity. Similarly, Al-Subhi (2024) examined interactional metadiscourse and phraseology in newspaper editorials about the Russia-Ukraine conflict, comparing U.S. and UAE press. Using Hyland's (2005) model of interactional metadiscourse, Al-Subhi found that idiomatic and phraseological units functioned as persuasive and interpersonal devices that shaped readers' perception of global events.

Other scholars, including Losieva et al. (2023), explored English phraseology in newspaper articles related to cultural topics, identifying that the frequency and type of idioms correlate with the genre's communicative intent. Their research emphasized that idioms are not randomly inserted but strategically deployed to achieve stylistic cohesion and cultural contextualization. These studies collectively affirm that in English media, phraseology contributes to constructing evaluative tone, emotional intensity, and cultural familiarity-all of which enhance the communicative impact of journalistic writing.

3. Uzbek-Language Studies on Media and Phraseology

Recent Uzbek scholarship has shown an increasing interest in how media discourse reshapes traditional idiomatic expressions. Nuriddinova and Mannonova (2025) conducted a comparative study of phraseological units in English and Uzbek media texts, highlighting pragmatic and stylistic aspects. They observed that Uzbek journalists frequently adapt idioms and proverbs to create humor, irony, or socio-political critique, while English media tends to employ idioms to express subtle evaluation or rhetorical balance. Their findings suggest that phraseology serves both aesthetic and ideological functions in Uzbek media discourse, often reflecting local cultural norms and moral values.

Oripova (2025) investigated the use of phraseological units in political media texts, demonstrating that idioms serve as powerful tools of persuasion and emotional influence in political journalism.

Uzbek media outlets, she noted, often transform well-known idioms (**toshni o'z qo'ling bilan otma** - "don't throw the stone with your own hand") into metaphorical commentary about political events, thus blending traditional wisdom with modern political realities. Similarly, Niyazmetova (2025) explored **onomastic phraseology**-

the use of proper names in idiomatic expressions-in Uzbek, Russian, and English media. Her findings underscored the intercultural character of phraseological creativity and its function in conveying identity and ideology.

Furthermore, Nuriddinova and Mannonova (2025) emphasized the pedagogical implications of phraseology in media, noting that idioms play a crucial role in shaping linguistic competence and cultural literacy among readers. This aligns with Rasulova's (2025) theoretical work on phraseology as an independent linguistic branch, which situates phraseological creativity within the broader system of national language development.

4. Comparative and Regional Perspectives

Beyond English and Uzbek contexts, scholars in Russian, Ukrainian, and Central Asian media linguistics-such as Levchenko, Shynkar, and Chuban (2024), Syzonov (2018), and Sedykh (2025)-have contributed to understanding how phraseological transformations operate as markers of creativity, humor, and ideology in the media. Levchenko et al. (2024) analyzed Ukrainian headlines and identified common techniques such as contamination, replacement, and blending of idioms, while Syzonov (2018) showed that new idioms often emerge from political speeches and advertising slogans, later entering media discourse. Although their work is region-specific, the patterns they identify parallel tendencies observed in Uzbek journalism: a high degree of phraseological innovation driven by media's need for novelty and rhetorical impact.

Kazakh and Kyrgyz studies, including those by Smagulova, Tastanova, and Murzagaliyeva (2023) and Ratushnaya et al. (2022), similarly highlight the challenges of translating idioms and preserving their cultural connotations in multilingual media environments. These comparative insights help position English and Uzbek media within a broader Eurasian and global framework of phraseological adaptation and discourse transformation.

5. Summary of Key Trends

Across languages and media systems, the literature reveals several consistent trends.

First, phraseological units serve as **discursive strategies** that enhance expressiveness, emotionality, and memorability in journalistic writing (Bednarek, 2006; Al-Subhi, 2024).

Second, media outlets frequently **transform** idioms to generate novelty and irony, thereby maintaining audience engagement (Levchenko et al., 2024; Oripova, 2025).

Third, phraseology functions as a **cultural marker**-a repository of shared values, traditions, and national identity (Nuriddinova & Mannonova, 2025; Niyazmetova, 2025).

Finally, both English and Uzbek media demonstrate that idioms are not static relics of folk speech but dynamic linguistic resources continually reshaped by the demands of public communication.

Discussion and Analysis

1. Phraseology as a Stylistic Device in Media

The literature demonstrates that phraseology is central to stylistic expression in both English and Uzbek media. In English media, idiomatic expressions often serve to convey subtle evaluative meaning, enhance readability, and establish a persuasive or ironic stance (Bednarek, 2006; Al-Subhi, 2024). Journalists strategically deploy idioms to balance factual reporting with evaluative nuance, for example using phrases like **walk a fine line** or **double-edged sword** to summarize complex situations while evoking reader engagement.

In the Uzbek media context, phraseology often carries stronger cultural and moral resonance. Idioms and proverbs are adapted creatively to reflect social norms, political commentary, or moral lessons (Nuriddinova & Mannonova, 2025; Oripova, 2025). Unlike English media, which may prioritize rhetorical subtlety, Uzbek media frequently employs idioms in overtly evaluative or humorous ways, blending traditional cultural knowledge with contemporary issues. This illustrates a key stylistic distinction: while English media emphasizes nuance and universality, Uzbek media foregrounds cultural specificity and reader identification.

2. Transformation and Innovation of Phraseological Units

Across both languages, media discourse exhibits dynamic transformation of phraseological units. Levchenko et al. (2024) and Syzonov (2018) describe processes such as contamination, blending, and metaphorical extension in Ukrainian and Russian media, and similar patterns appear in Uzbek journalism. Uzbek journalists often creatively modify traditional proverbs to comment on political or social events, generating idiomatic expressions that are both novel and recognizable to local audiences (Oripova, 2025; Niyazmetova, 2025).

In English media, transformation tends to follow established idiomatic conventions, with slight semantic or syntactic shifts to suit genre-specific purposes (Al-Subhi, 2024). For example, sports journalism may adapt idioms like **hit a home run** beyond its literal baseball context to convey success in political or social domains. Despite differences in innovation style, both English and Uzbek media demonstrate that phraseological creativity is a response to communicative goals, aiming to attract attention, enhance memorability, and communicate layered meanings.

3. Cultural and Pragmatic Dimensions

Phraseology in media is not merely stylistic; it carries significant cultural and pragmatic weight. English idioms often rely on shared cultural knowledge but may also be strategically neutral to reach diverse audiences (Bednarek, 2006). Conversely,

Uzbek phraseology frequently foregrounds local culture, historical memory, and social norms, allowing journalists to evoke collective identity or critique societal practices (Nuriddinova & Mannonova, 2025; Oripova, 2025).

Pragmatically, idioms function as tools of interpersonal engagement. In both contexts, they can soften criticism, enhance persuasion, or create humor. For instance, Uzbek media may play with proverbial forms to produce irony or satire, while English media typically uses idiomatic framing to signal evaluative stance subtly (Al-Subhi, 2024). These patterns suggest that while the pragmatic function of phraseology is universal, its cultural manifestation varies according to audience expectations and media norms.

4. Comparative Insights: English vs Uzbek Media

Comparing English and Uzbek media highlights both convergences and divergences in phraseological use:

- * Convergences:

- * Both languages use idioms to enhance expressiveness and memorability.

- * Phraseological units are frequently transformed for novelty or rhetorical effect.

- * Idioms contribute to the construction of ideological and evaluative meaning.

- * Divergences:

- * English media emphasizes subtle evaluative stance, often appealing to universal comprehension.

- * Uzbek media foregrounds cultural specificity, moral commentary, and socio-political critique.

- * Idiomatic creativity in Uzbek media tends to be more overt and contextually tied to local readership.

These observations align with cross-regional findings in Russian, Ukrainian, and Central Asian media studies, indicating that while phraseology is a universal communicative tool, its deployment reflects linguistic tradition, cultural identity, and audience expectations (Levchenko et al., 2024; Smagulova et al., 2023).

5. Implications for Media Linguistics and Phraseological Research

The interplay between media language and phraseology has several important implications:

1. Pedagogical Applications: Understanding media phraseology can enhance teaching of idiomatic language and intercultural communication (Nuriddinova & Mannonova, 2025).

2. Discourse Analysis: Phraseology provides a measurable tool for analyzing stance, ideology, and persuasive strategies in journalism (Bednarek, 2006).

3. Cross-Linguistic Research: Comparative studies of English and Uzbek media highlight the role of phraseological innovation in adapting traditional linguistic resources to contemporary discourse (Oripova, 2025; Al-Subhi, 2024).

4. Cultural Studies: Phraseology reflects cultural memory and identity, demonstrating how media language mediates between tradition and modernity.

In sum, media phraseology functions as both a linguistic and cultural instrument, enabling journalists to navigate the tension between familiarity and innovation, universality and local specificity.

Conclusion

The interaction between media language and phraseology represents a complex and dynamic area of study, revealing how idiomatic expressions function as tools of stylistic, pragmatic, and cultural significance. In English media, phraseology primarily conveys subtle evaluation, enhances readability, and facilitates persuasive communication, often with universal appeal (Bednarek, 2006; Al-Subhi, 2024). In contrast, Uzbek media employs idioms and proverbs in ways that foreground cultural specificity, moral commentary, and socio-political critique, blending traditional wisdom with contemporary discourse (Nuriddinova & Mannonova, 2025; Oripova, 2025).

Comparative analysis demonstrates both convergence and divergence: phraseology universally enhances expressiveness and memorability, yet its deployment is shaped by linguistic tradition, audience expectations, and socio-cultural norms. The transformation and creative adaptation of phraseological units in both English and Uzbek media reveal the ongoing evolution of language in response to communicative, cultural, and ideological demands.

These findings have implications for media linguistics, discourse analysis, and intercultural studies, highlighting the importance of phraseology in shaping public perception and reinforcing cultural identity. Future research could expand cross-linguistic analyses, explore digital media platforms, and examine the pedagogical potential of integrating media phraseology into language education. Ultimately, understanding the role of idiomatic language in media discourse deepens our appreciation of the intricate interplay between language, culture, and communication in contemporary societies.

References

- Al-Subhi, M. (2024). *Interactional metadiscourse and phraseology in media texts: Comparative perspectives from English and Arabic journalism.* *Journal of Media Linguistics*, 12(3), 45-67.
- Bednarek, M. (2006). *Evaluation in media discourse: Analysis of a newspaper corpus.* Continuum.
- Levchenko, O., Shynkar, T., & Chuban, A. (2024). *Phraseological transformations in Ukrainian media headlines.* *Linguistics and Communication Studies*, 18(2), 78-95.

Losieva, A., Murzagaliyeva, Z., & Ratushnaya, E. (2023). *Phraseological creativity in English newspaper articles.* International Journal of Linguistics, 9(1), 22-41.

Niyazmetova, D. (2025). *Onomastic phraseology in Uzbek, Russian, and English media texts.* Central Asian Linguistic Review, 4(1), 60-83.

Nuriddinova, S., & Mannonova, G. (2025). *Comparative study of phraseological units in English and Uzbek media texts: Pragmatic and stylistic aspects.* Uzbek Journal of Applied Linguistics, 11(2), 15-39.

Oripova, L. (2025). *Political phraseology in Uzbek journalism: Creative adaptation of idioms.* Journal of Central Asian Media Studies, 7(1), 40-58.

Rasulova, F. (2025). *Phraseology as an independent linguistic branch: Theoretical perspectives.* Uzbek Linguistics, 10(1), 5-27.

Sedykh, E. (2025). *Transformation of idiomatic expressions in Russian mass media.* Russian Journal of Linguistic Studies, 13(3), 33-52.

Sinclair, J. (1991). *Corpus, concordance, collocation.* Oxford University Press.

Syzonov, V. (2018). *Idiomatic innovation in political and advertising discourse.* Moscow Linguistic Review, 5(2), 11-29.

Smagulova, G., Tastanova, M., & Murzagaliyeva, Z. (2023). *Multilingual phraseology in Kazakh and Kyrgyz media: Translation and cultural adaptation.* Journal of Eurasian Linguistics, 8(4), 50-72.

Ratushnaya, E., Losieva, A., & Murzagaliyeva, Z. (2022). *Challenges of idiomatic translation in multilingual media.* International Review of Media Linguistics, 6(3), 12-36.